Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/646,924	RASPE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Suryaprabha Chunduru	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeals of the communication appeals of the communication appeals of the communication appeals of the Office of MAILING DATE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIPS of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
1. This communication is responsive to 6/10/05.			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,10,12,22,25,26,31 and 32.			
3. 🗵 The drawings filed on <u>25 September 2000</u> are accepted by	the Examiner.		
4.   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under the priority and all blue blue blue blue blue blue blue bl	e been received.		
<ul><li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have</li><li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority do</li></ul>			tion from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cultients flave been received in this	Trational stage applica	
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the red	quirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminification (PTO-152) which give		_	OTICE OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus			
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		-948) attached	
1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date		Delina mating of	
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the C	Unice action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on the drawi the header according to 37 CFR 1.121	ngs in the front (not the (d).	back) of
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC	must be submitted. NEAL MATERIAL.	Note the
Attachment(s)  1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PT	O-152)
2.  Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413),	
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No./Mail Da		
Paper No./Mail Date  4.  Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner's Statem	ent of Reasons for Allo	owance
of Biological Material	9.	4	
	J	EFFREY FREDMAN RIMARY EXAMINE	1 7 .
		6/1715	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicants' response to the office action and amendment filed on June 10, 2005 have been considered and entered.
- 2. The rejection made in the previous office action under 35 USC 112, first paragraph written description is withdrawn herein in view of the amendment.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 1, 10, 12, 22, , 25-26, 31-32 are allowed.

The present invention is drawn to a method of screening a substance for usefulness in the treatment of a lipid metabolism dysfunction comprising contacting said substance with a response element of human  $ROR\alpha$  of the human apo C-III promoter and measuring the expression of apo C-III gene expression. The closest prior art (Karanthanasis et al., US 5, 721,016) teach a method for screening a compound in response to a transcription factor and expression of apo I gene and did not teach screening of a substance related to lipid metabolism that modulates apo C-III gene expression. Fraser et al. (J Biol. Chem., Vol. 272(21(, 13892-13898, 1997) teaches a method for expression of apo C-III gene in the presence of a hepatocyte nuclear factor. With regard to the obviousness rejection (Karanthanasis et al. in view of Fraser et al), Applicants' arguments are found persuasive since there is no motivation to combine the method of Karanthanasis et al. with the method of Fraser et al. Thus Karanthanasis et al. in view of Fraser et al did not teach or suggest a method for screening a substance that modulates the apo C-III gene expression involved in lipid metabolism. Thus the instant invention was not taught or obvious over the closest prior art. Further the instant amended claims obviated the rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, written description.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 571-272-0783. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and - for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Suryaprabha Chunduru. June 17, 2005.

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER